UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,512	02/04/2005	Roland Liebe	2002P13083WOUS	1290
7590 05/16/2007 Siemens Corporation Intellectual Property Department			EXAMINER	
			DINH, TIEN QUANG	
170 Wood Avenue South Iselin, NJ 08830			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1301111, 110 0000	• •		3644	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/523,512	LIEBE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this communication com	Tien Dinh	3644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1. cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Fe	ebruary 2007.					
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	<i>,</i>					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-27,30,31,33 and 43-45</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 43-45 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-25,30,31 and 33</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>23-25,30,31 and 33</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>26, 27</u> is/are objected to.	,—					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	гатент Аррисацол				

Page 2

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 23, 24, 25, 30, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Farnham et al 2878831.

Farnham et al teaches a device having a profile 1 with a leading edge and a trailing edge having a axis of low direction such that the flow medium flows around the profile, an external drive 36 that is in mechanical communication with the profile via parts 16, 9a, and is moved back and forth perpendicular to the flow, and with this arrangement, the profile form finite edge vortices along the trailing edge. Please note that when the profile is transitioned to an "open" configurations (such as designated as number 26), the profile produces the finite edge vortices. The drive 36 rotates the profile (that is pivoted around an axis of rotation 3 that is perpendicular to the flow direction of the flow medium through an angle theta which can be zero to 90) as best seen in figure 1 from a "closed" position to an "opened" position. Since drive 36 reciprocates back and forth, the profile has a periodic movement. Re claim 26, the second profile is interpreted as element 10a. Re claim 31, there are multiple profiles 1. Since they are linked together by par 9a, they will pivotably move with the same angular frequency w and the same phase.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/523,512 Page 3

Art Unit: 3644

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farnham et al

2878831.

Farnham et al teaches all claimed parts but is silent on the device being in a gas turbine.

However, one skilled in the art would have used the device of Farnham et al in any systems such

as the gas turbine so as to allow the gas turbine to work at the optimal level.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 26 and 27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 43-45 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 3644

The examiner used new arts to reject the claims which renders applicant's arguments moot.

## Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tien Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-6899. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 571-272-7045. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TD

Tion I'll